eROSITA view of Be stars

Y. Nazé (ULiège/FNRS) & J. Robrade (Hamburg Obs.)

Be stars and X-rays

- Fast-rotating stars with Balmer emission \rightarrow decretion disk
- Properties (rotation, mass) from start, @formation, or after binary interactions (i.e. Be=initially least massive component now most massive component); if binary channel: companion is a stripped He-star or a compact object (WD, NS, BH)
- In X-rays :
 - ♦ HMXBs associated with Be stars ∃
 - Candidate WD+Be objects spotted in MCs from supersoft X-rays
 - Candidate BH+Be objects proposed then rejected from optical data (they were X-ray faint)
 - * γ Cas have bright and hard X-rays companions have low masses but unknown nature
 - ✤ First Be survey done using archival/serendipitous exposures (Nazé & Motch 2018, A&A, 619, A148)
 - \rightarrow potential biases exist!

A clean sample

- BeSS catalogue:
 - limited to MW
 - without known HMXBs, YSOs, non-classical Be stars, other massive objects (WR, LBV)
 - ♦ Secure GAIA-DR3 or Hipparcos distances (RUWE<1.4, Rpu>5)
- eROSITA all-sky survey (eRASS) data:
 Four all-sky scans over 2 years,

More on eROSITA? Predehl et al. 2021 (A&A, 674, A1)

- 0.2-10 keV range (used individually or combined)
- Detection likelihood at least 6
- Optical contamination estimated for bright sources and corrected
- \Rightarrow 832 Be stars in eROSITA_DE half sky,
 - 170 detections (twice the # of previous survey!)



- Most detections have $HR=1-F_x(0.5-2)/F_x(0.5-5) < 0.1$
 - No bright supersoft sources
 - 34 sources bright and hard, nearly all with early spectral types (7 are γ Cas, 2-4 possibly low-luminosity XRBs)





Properties of detected objects

/!\ fluxes corrected for interstellar reddenings only

- Good agreement with properties from literature (23 cases), considering different bands (0.5-10 keV before vs 0.5-5 keV)
- Distant sources are brighter and harder

Reference : Nazé & Robrade, in prep. Contact : <u>ynaze@uliege.be</u>